

IN THE HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

Registry : Brisbane

No :

BETWEEN

Applicant: **Peter Markan**

AND

Respondent: **BAR ASSOCIATION OF QUEENSLAND**

10 APPLICATION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL

The applicant applies for leave to appeal from the whole of the judgement of the Court of Appeal of the Supreme Court of Queensland
GIVEN on 10 July 2015

1. GROUNDS :

- 20 1. The subject of my application to this Court relates to the issues of:
- the lack of respect for the human rights in Queensland;
 - racist attitude, discrimination and vilification of people who are not lawyers and not of anglo origin and who represent themselves in courts;
 - denial of the protection by law to such people by rotten legal system;
 - treatment of such people by ‘public institutions’ and courts as **SECOND CLASS CITIZENS**
 - creation of **TOTALITARIAN REGIME** consisting of lawyers who infested various democratic institutions and courts, and are controlling Australian society as self-professed ‘master breed’
 - enacting of concealed dictatorship when an opinion of an **unelected individual**
- 30 is claimed to be binding to 22 million of free people in Australia (those so called ‘authorities’ in legal proceedings)
2. Margaret McMurdo P and Holmes JA and Flanagan J ignored and disrespected me as a party in ‘court of law ’and also the principles of the ‘legal system’ they are a part of. In spite of me telling them directly into their faces:
‘I’m not giving you my permission to act as judges in this case’
they chose to act without my permission, break their own anglo laws and to commit a criminal offence by impersonating judicial officers!
- 40 3. Margaret McMurdo P and Holmes JA and Flanagan J showed typical anglo racist arrogance, when dealing with people of not anglo background, by not mentioning even by one word the fact of me bringing up that issue before them, in the written garbage they had audacity to call ‘**reasons** for judgement’.

Application for Special Leave to Appeal
in High Court of Australia
Peter Markan v Bar Association of Queensland
NUMBER: B...../2015
Form 23 (rule 41.01.1)

Name: Peter Markan
Address:
Phone:
Email: justiceaction@queenslandinstitute.org

4. Margaret McMurdo P and Holmes JA and Flanagan J – are promoters of black magic and ABRA CADABRA rules in the legal system to suit the situation.
5. Margaret McMurdo P and Holmes JA and Flanagan J seem to forget that the job they are doing is to ‘administer’ existing laws and act of parliament and not to nullify them or modify them to suit their friends requests. By inconsistent application of existing laws those despicable creatures are promoting lawless society.
- 10 6. Margaret McMurdo P and Holmes JA and Flanagan J – made ridiculous claims indicating their lack of legal knowledge, even if one would consider them ‘qualified’ to do one would normally considers ‘judges’ to do – make impartial and logically sound judgements based of facts and provisions of law.
7. By making disparaging comments, Margaret McMurdo P and Holmes JA and Flanagan J, chose to ignore and deride the need for the high standard of judiciary by means of people with recognized and proven moral and ethical standards, and competency. Their conduct indicates that there is no rule of law in this State but the rule of stooges. They brought Queensland legal system into disrepute.
- 20 8. Quite bizarre ‘judgement’ by those 3 individuals and the evidence of Lawyers Mafia influence and the CARTEL arrangements between ‘judges’ and lawyers looking at the fact that all my arguments (available in court documents) were rejected and the ‘judgement’ in favour of Bar Association of Queensland was made.
9. This is the evidence of hypocrisy and dishonesty of those 3 people calling themselves ‘judges’ and the derision of concepts of ‘fairness’ and ‘justness’.
- 10. The following LAWS provide the basis of my LEGAL STANDING:**
 - 30 • Mabo v Queensland [No 2] (1992) 175 CLR
 - Australian Constitution
 - 109 Inconsistency of laws and 117 Rights of residents in States
 - Australian Human Rights Commission Act 1986 - Schedule 2
 - Charter of Human Rights and Responsibilities Act 2006 - VICTORIA
 - Human Right Act 2004 – ACT
 - Magna Carta (1297) 25 Edw 1 c 29
 - Statute of Monopolies 1623 - 21 James 1 ch 3 ss 1
 - Observance of Due Process of Law - Statute 1368 - Imperial Act 3
 - Liberty of Subject (1354)
- 40 11. Although I am Australian citizen, me and people like me, are limited to being ‘eligible’ to be elected only to two branches of government (legislative and executive branches of the governments) by the apartheid style arrangements of section 59 of the Constitution of Queensland 2001.
 - This is in breach of Statute of Monopolies 1623 - by giving monopolistic privilege to a specific group of people in the society.
 - This is the breach of Australian Human Rights Commission Act 1986 – Schedule 2 - International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights - Articles 2, 3, 25, 26.
- 50 I, and people like me, demand to be formally and legally eligible for the selection to judiciary as well - which is my basic human, civil and political right.

12. The conduct of Queensland Supreme Court Appeal judges:
- indicates deeply embedded hypocrisy by accusing other people of being ‘criminals’ while themselves openly displaying fragrant contempt for laws in this country,
 - serves protection of interests and hegemony of lawyers in legal industry,
 - serves protection of interests and hegemony of singular ethnic group,
 - reinforces de facto apartheid conditions in this state,
 - is abuse and discrimination of people of non anglo origin,
 - is the display of judges patronizing attitude and ‘colonial mentality’,
 - is the abuse of judicial discretion which is exercised arbitrarily and capriciously,
 - is the abuse of position of public trust,
 - there are no mitigating elements of unconscious conduct due to the lack of awareness - on the contrary! - it is a deliberate and premeditated action.
13. Feudal concepts of laws and social arrangements are maintained, promoted and enforced with manifest disregard to democratic and civilized principles of 21st century.
14. Naive dogmas are propagated - centred around the concept of infallibility of anglo judges who are presumed to be never wrong, never stupid, never dishonest.
15. Judgment and/or conclusion does NOT correspond nor are validated by reasons and/or facts or material evidence resulting in manifest miscarriage of justice.
16. Judges utilized hideous concept, often used in degenerated anglo ‘legal’ system, of unjustified rejection/ignorance of evidence inconvenient for judgement and without stating a reason for such viewpoint - to deprive me of fair trial and thus assisting, aiding and abetting unlawful discrimination and persecution.
17. Judges were not acting in ‘good faith’ but they acted like mafia dons protecting interests of their friends and to pervert or obstruct Justice and the Rule of Law.
18. The judgement is the mockery of the concept that we are living in a country where there is ‘rule of law’ - when LAWS are ignored and bypassed by incompetent ‘judges’.

ORDER`S SOUGHT :

1. I am requesting the High Court to pronounce the decisions of the Qld SC Appeal ‘judges’ and the ‘order’ by Alan Wilson from 15.09.2014 in this matter (2980/2014) as being without any basis in law, null and void – not having any legal consequences, the verdict set aside and order the new hearing.
2. Declare as invalid (breaching Statute of Monopolies 1623) plainly **discriminatory and fashioning lawyers as a ‘master breed’ in the society**, section 59 of the Constitution of Queensland 2001(Appointment of judges) - and declare that any member of the community has the right to become a judge, as such job does not require any qualifications or competence.
3. Instigate investigation of Queensland Supreme Court as the hub of racism.
4. Instigate investigation of how few families are controlling Queensland Legal Industry, which is dominated by anglos and jews, and discriminating against people from other ethnic background and in spite of ‘multiculturalism’.

5. I am proposing that High Court issues the Declaration that Queensland legal system is a dodgy, shabby back yard operation **and not** a respectable legal system complying with international laws, rules, standards and obligations.
6. Due to cold and calculated criminal and offensive conduct and the unrepentant attitude of those involved (which affects the foundation and the legitimacy of legal arrangements in the State) I am requesting the Court to consider issuing the recommendation that people associated with Queensland Legal Industry (lawyers, barristers and judges) to be sent to re-education facilities where they will be subjected to hard physical labour to try to rehabilitate them and instil in them the respect for the laws and other people in the community.
7. I am requesting the Court to declare those people as ‘not fit and proper‘ of holding any position in Queensland requiring trustworthiness and honesty and to issue the order prohibiting those people from applying or holding such positions in Queensland.

10

Dated : 24.07.2015

20

Signed by the applicant Peter Markan :

To : The Registrar of Supreme Court Of Queensland

To: The Respondent

Chief Executive Officer
 Bar Association of Queensland
 Level 5 , Inns of Court
 107 North Quay
 Brisbane , Qld 4000

30

TAKE NOTICE: Before taking any step in the proceedings you must, within **14 DAYS** after service of this application, enter an appearance in the office of the Registry in which the application is filed, and serve a copy on the applicant.

PARTICULARS OF THE APPLICANT:

Name: Peter Markan

Address for service:

.....

40

Phone:

Email:

.....
 justiceaction@queenslandinstitute.org